

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/622,221	07/18/2003	Martin O'Sullivan	Martin O'Sullivan 50571/AW/W112 4670	
23363 7	7590 03/17/2006		EXAM	INER
•	ARKER & HALE, L	ROANE, AARON F		
PO BOX 7068	CA 91109-7068		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FASADENA,	CA 91109-7008		3739	
			DATE MAILED: 03/17/2006	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				Ć.
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>
Office Action Summary		10/622,221	O'SULLIVAN ET A	۸L.
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Aaron Roane	3739	
<i> Th</i> Period for Re	e MAILING DATE of this communication app ply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress
WHICHE\ - Extensions after SIX (6 - If NO perior - Failure to re Any reply re	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY /ER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we apply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, acceived by the Office later than three months after the mailing ent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		•		
1)⊠ Res	ponsive to communication(s) filed on 29 De	ecember 2005.		
2a)⊠ This	s action is FINAL. 2b) This	action is non-final.		
3)☐ Sind	ce this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	merits is
clos	ed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.	
Disposition o	of Claims			
4)⊠ Clai	m(s) <u>1-4,6,9-19 and 22-34</u> is/are pending i	n the application.		
4a) (	Of the above claim(s) <u>33 and 34</u> is/are with	drawn from consideration.		
5)∏ Clai	m(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Clai	m(s) <u>1-4,6,9-19 and 22-32</u> is/are rejected.			
·	m(s) is/are objected to.			
8)∐ Clai	m(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Application F	Papers			•
9) <u></u> The	specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10) <u></u> The	drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) $\square$ objected to by the $\mathfrak k$	Examiner.	
Арр	licant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	lacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11) <u></u> The	oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.
Priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	nowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  I b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).	
1.	Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
2.	Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No	
3.	Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National	Stage
	application from the International Bureau			
* See t	he attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.	

•		•	•••	•	•••	٠,	٠,
	_	_					

1)	ш	Notice of	of Ref	ferences	Cited	(PT	O-892)
----	---	-----------	--------	----------	-------	-----	--------

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.

4)	Interview Summary (PTO-413
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: \_\_\_\_.

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Whayne et al. (USPN 6,203,525 B1).

Regarding claims 1, 2 and 17, Whayne et al. disclose a catheter for ablating tissue, the catheter comprising: an elongated generally-tubular catheter body (12 in figure 1 and its analogous counterparts in other embodiments) having proximal and distal ends; and an electrode assembly (360 in figure 36-38) at the distal end of the catheter body, the electrode assembly including a generally-straight porous electrode arrangement that is generally transverse to the catheter body, the porous electrode arrangement comprising: a non-conductive tubing (distal tubing 28 in figure 3A and its analogous counterpart in the embodiment illustrated in figure 36) mounted on-the distal end of the catheter, a midsection of the non-conductive tubing forming a curve that first bends away from and then back toward and past the axis of the catheter body forming a generally straight distal end

Application/Control Number: 10/622,221

Art Unit: 3739

Page 3

of the non-conductive tubing, wherein the generally straight distal end of the nonconductive tubing forms an angle with the axis of the catheter body ranging from about 75° to about 110° (see figure 4A); one or more electrodes (the left and center electrodes 372 in figures 37 and 38) electrically connected to a suitable energy source (not shown see col. 5, lines 50-67, also see 380 in col. 22, lines 1-3), wherein the electrode(s) is mounted on the non-conductive tubing; a porous sleeve (364) mounted in surrounding relation to the one or more electrodes; and one or more irrigation openings (374) fluidly connecting the open space to a lumen (lumen of 370) extending through the catheter through which fluid can pass; wherein, in use, fluid passes through the lumen in the catheter, through the one or more irrigation openings, into the open space and through the porous sleeve, see col. 5, 6 and 21-23 and figures 1 and 36-38. Regarding the electrode assembly further comprising a non-conductive tubing mounted on the distal end of the catheter over which the one or more electrodes are mounted, and wherein the nonconductive tubing includes at least one lumen fluidly connected to the lumen in the catheter body and to the one or more irrigation openings, Whayne et al. are silent as to the tubing (370) over which the one or more electrodes are mounted is non-conductive. However, Whayne et al. certainly imply that the tubing (370) is electrically nonconductive since it discusses the use of the electrodes (372) in a bipolar mode, see col. 21. The tubing (370) would have to be non-conductive the electrodes (372) are used in bipolar mode, otherwise the tubing (372) would provide an electrical "short" between the electrodes.

Regarding claims 3 and 18, Whayne et al. further disclose the one or more electrodes comprises a single coiled electrode (22) wrapped around a portion of the non-conductive tubing, see col. 5-8 and 23.

Page 4

Regarding claims 4 and 19, Whayne et al. further disclose the porous sleeve has proximal and distal ends that are bonded to the non-conductive tubing, see figure 38.

Regarding claim 6, Whayne et al. further disclose the generally straight porous electrode forms an angle with the axis of the catheter body ranging from about 75° to about 110°, see col. 5-23 and figures 1-39.

Regarding claims 9, 10, 22 and 23, Whayne et al. further the porous sleeve comprises a polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) that is expandable to no more than 10% at a distilled water flow rate of 30 to 40 cc/min, see col. 21 and 22.

Regarding claims 11 and 24, Whayne et al. further disclose the porous sleeve comprises a material selected from the group consisting of porous nylon, sintered ceramics, woven meshes and cellular foam, see col. 22, line 39-57.

Regarding claims 12, 13, 25 and 26, Whayne et al. disclose the claimed invention, see col. 5-25 and particularly col. 23.

Regarding claims 14 and 27, Whayne et al. further disclose the electrode assembly further comprises one or more ring electrodes (the right electrode 372 in figures 37 and 38) mounted proximal and/or distal to the porous electrode (the left and center electrodes 372 in figures 37 and 38).

Regarding claims 15, 16, 28 and 29, Whayne et al. further disclose the electrode assembly further comprises one or more temperature sensors (see for example 292 figure 29), wherein the one or more temperature sensors are mounted under the porous sleeve, see col. 23-25 and figure 29.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 30 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Whayne et al. (USPN 6,203,525 B1) in view of Fung et al. (USPN 6,120,476).

Application/Control Number: 10/622,221

Art Unit: 3739

Regarding claims 30 and 31, Whayne et al. disclose the claimed invention except that a pre-shaped support wire made of nitinol extends through a second lumen of the nonconductive tubing. Whayne et al. clearly discloses a first lumen (lumen of 370) used for irrigation, see col. 5, 6 and 21-23 and figures 1 and 36-38. Whayne et al. also disclose the use of a nitinol pre-shaped support wire (26) located in a lumen of a non-conductive tubing (28 and/or 28'). However, Whayne et al. do not disclose simultaneously disposing a nitinol pre-shaped support wire in one lumen of the non-conductive tubing and the having an irrigating pathway/passage in other separate lumen. Fung et al. disclose an irrigated tip-catheter that has at least two lumens and teach the provision of the nonconductive tubing (19) upon which electrodes (38) are placed with three lumens 30, 32 and 34, see col. 4-6 and figure 3. Additionally, Fung et al. teach the simultaneous provision of a pre-shaped nitinol wire (42) placed in one lumen (32) in order to provide steerability/deflection of the device and the use of another lumen (34) as an irrigation lumen in order to provide infusion, see col. 4-6 and figure 3. Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to modify the invention of Whayne et al., as taught by Fung et al., to simultaneously provide the pre-shaped nitinol wire placed in one lumen in order to provide steerability/deflection of the device and the use of another lumen as an irrigation lumen in order to provide infusion.

Page 6

Claim 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Whayne et al. (USPN 6,203,525 B1) in view of Swanson et al. (USPN 5,961,513).

Regarding claim 32, Whayne et al. disclose the claimed invention except for reciting the one or more irrigation openings are located only on the side of the porous electrode that is to be in contact with tissue to be ablated. It is well known in the art to place or provide holes/pores of a porous material in a particular pattern and/or on a side of the otherwise porous material in order to achieve a particular ablation pattern. Swanson et al. disclose a tissue heating and/or ablating device and teach providing the expandable porous element (22) with holes/pores located on one side in order to achieve a particular ablation pattern and/or serve as a sensing surface, see col. 5-21 and figures 1-14. Therefore at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Whayne et al., as is well known in the art and taught by Swanson et al., to provide the expandable porous element with holes/pores located on one side in order to achieve a particular ablation pattern and/or serve as a sensing surface.

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 12/29/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. On page 8, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph of the noted response, Applicant asserts that Whayne et al. neither teach nor suggest "a non-conductive tubing mounted on the distal end of the catheter, a mid-section of the non-conductive tubing forming a curve that first bends away from and then back toward and past the axis of the catheter body forming a generally straight distal end of the non-conductive tubing," see lines 3-6. However, the examiner strongly disagrees and has

Art Unit: 3739

highlighted column and line of the Whayne et al. patent which discloses the above claimed non-conductive tubing having the various claimed features. Applicant then goes on to assert that Whayne et al. disclose a "loop structure." Although it is Applicant's right to a particular interpretation to which the examiner neither agrees or disagrees, the claim language (particularly of claims 1 and 17) does not distinguish over the Whayne et al. patent. It should be pointed out, that although operational characteristics of an apparatus may be apparent from the specification, we will not read such characteristics into the claims when they cannot be fairly connected to the structure recited in the claims. See In re Self, 671 F.2d 1344, 1348, 213 USPQ 1, 5 (CCPA 1982).

## This action is FINAL.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Art Unit: 3739

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aaron Roane whose telephone number is (571) 272-4771. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7AM-6PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda Dvorak can be reached on (571) 272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A.R. A.K. March 10, 2006

MICHAEL PEFFLEY
PRIMARY EXAMINER